

Energy and Telecommunications Interim Committee

PO BOX 201706 Helena, MT 59620-1706 (406) 444-3064 FAX (406) 444-3036

63rd Montana Legislature

SENATE MEMBERS CLIFF LARSEN--Chair EDWARD BUTTREY ROBYN DRISCOLL ALAN OLSON

HOUSE MEMBERS
KEITH REGIER--Vice Chair
MIKE LANG
MARY MCNALLY
TOM STEENBERG

COMMITTEE STAFF SONJA NOWAKOWSKI, Lead Staff TODD EVERTS, Staff Attorney JOY LEWIS, Secretary

March 7, 2014

TO: Energy and Telecommunications Interim Committee (ETIC) members

FR: ETIC staff

RE: RPS Survey results

In September 2013, the ETIC approved two surveys to be sent to energy producers and suppliers as part of its study of the Montana Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS). One survey was provided to renewable energy generators certified as resources that can be used to meet Montana's RPS. The second survey was provided to utilities and electricity suppliers required to meet Montana's RPS. The surveys were sent electronically in late September, and most were returned by early January. The committee will review and discuss the survey results the March 21 meeting.

As directed by Senate Joint Resolution No. 6, the study and associated survey focus on the economic impacts of the RPS, the environmental benefits of the standard, and the impacts the standard has had on Montana consumers.

The first survey was sent to 13 renewable generators. Those generators have all been certified as eligible renewable resource and/or community renewable energy projects by the Montana Public Service Commission. With the exception of three generators located out-of-state, all of the renewable generators provided a response to the ETIC. However, while some entities answered all of the questions posed by the committee, most chose to only answer certain questions.

The second survey was sent to eight utilities or competitive electricity suppliers that have in the past, or are currently, subject to the requirements of Montana's RPS. Only one competitive electricity supplier did not respond to the survey. The other seven entities responded, at least in part, to the survey.

The surveys offer a wealth of information from the perspective of both renewable developers and utilities and suppliers required to meet the standard. The results of the comprehensive survey are attached for your review. With the help of the legislative communications office, staff also has developed an interactive map, so legislators and the public can view the survey results. To view the map, visit the committee's Website at www.leg.mt.gov/etic. You can click on a location and view those survey results. For example, by clicking on Butte, the survey response provided by NorthWestern Energy is available.

Cl0124 4058slea.



COMPLETE

Collector: Follow Up 2 (Email)

Started: Thursday, December 05, 2013 9:38:34 AM Last Modified: Tuesday, January 28, 2014 2:06:02 PM

Time Spent: Over a month

Email: john.bushnell@northwestern.com Custom Data: NorthWestern Energy

IP Address: 199.96.16.11

Turnbull - Turnbull Hydro, LLC

Flint Creek - Flint Creek Hydroelectric, LLC Low er South Fork - Low er South Fork, LLC

21: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you epresent?	NorthWestern Energy
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69-2004, MCA)?	All years
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage equirements?	Yes
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	Respondent skipped this question
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, nave you paid an administrative penalty?	Respondent skipped this question
fordon Butte - 9.6 MW wind urnbull - 13 MW hydro lint Creek - 2 MW hydro lint Creek - 2 MW hydro lint South Fork - 0.5 MW hydro lint Creek - 2 MW hydro lint Creek - 0.5 MW hy	Yes
	No,
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year? Yes
29: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	NWE estimates conservatively that it has expended \$25,000 to date on waiver filings.
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a vaiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	No
211: What eligible renewable resources have you used to mee	t the CREP requirement?
fordon Butte - 9.6 MW w ind urnbull - 13 MW hydro lint Creek - 2 MW hydro ow er South Fork - 0.5 MW hydro	
ow er South Fork - 0.5 MW Hydro	

	Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?	No, Please explain how it has or has not. NWE had already been focused on renew able resources prior to RPS. A minimal amount of NWE's resource portfolio can be attributed to the standards.
Please provide some details on how it has or has not. No. On one hand, resources acquired to meet the RPS standards provide a partial hedge against volatility of fossil fuel markets by reducing market purchases, which include a thermal (gas/coal) component. No either hand, the inclusion of RPS resources caused MWE to invest in additional gas-fired resources of secures of secures. These offsetting effects cannot be precisely determined. Offs: Has the standard contributed to higher, lower, or neutral costs for your customers? Please explain your answ er Neutral: Customer cost impact cannot be precisely calculated (refer to NWEs responses to 14 and 15). How ever, NWEs highest cost RPS resources are currently much more costly, on a \$MMN basis, than the market purchases that they displace. Offs: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate increase or decrease) Offs: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? NorthWestern w as focused on renew able resources prior to RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15 and 16). Therefore, any benefit from RPS is minimal. Offs: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NorthWestern w as focused on renew able resources prior RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15, and 16). Therefore, the draw back from RPS is minimal. Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Dave Gates Ceneration Station (DGGS) and w ind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Yes Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs		Please explain how it has or has not. No. Given NWE's dependence on market purchases, this cannot be precisely determined. How ever, NWE believes any
Please explain your answer Neutral: Customer cost impact cannot be precisely calculated (refer to NWEs responses to 14 and 15). However, NWEs highest cost RPS resources are currently much more costly, on a \$/MWh basis, than the market purchases that they displace. Q17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate increase or decrease) Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? NorthWestern w as focused on renew able resources prior to RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15 and 16). Therefore, any benefit from RPS is minimal. Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NorthWestern w as focused on renew able resources prior RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15, and 16). Therefore, the draw back from RPS is minimal. Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Dave Gates Generation Station (DGGS) and wind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs		Please provide some details on how it has or has not. No. On one hand, resources acquired to meet the RPS standards provide a partial hedge against volatility of fossil fuel markets by reducing market purchases, which include a thermal (gas/coal) component. On the other hand, the inclusion of RPS resources caused NWE to invest in additional gas-fired resources to integrate/regulate those resources. These
Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? NorthWestern w as focused on renew able resources prior to RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15 and 16). Therefore, any benefit from RPS is minimal. Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NorthWestern w as focused on renew able resources prior RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15, and 16). Therefore, the drawback from RPS is minimal. Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Dave Gates Generation Station (DGGS) and wind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs		Please explain your answer Neutral: Customer cost impact cannot be precisely calculated (refer to NWE's responses to 14 and 15). How ever, NWE's highest cost RPS resources are currently much more costly, on a \$/MWh basis, than the market purchases that they
NorthWestern was focused on renew able resources prior to RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15 and 16). Therefore, any benefit from RPS is minimal. Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NorthWestern was focused on renew able resources prior RPS (refer to NWE's response to 13, 15, and 16). Therefore, the draw back from RPS is minimal. Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Dave Gates Generation Station (DGGS) and wind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs	average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate	Respondent skipped this question
from RPS is minimal. Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NorthWestern was focused on renewable resources prior RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15, and 16). Therefore, the drawback from RPS is minimal. Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Dave Gates Generation Station (DGGS) and wind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs	Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers?	
NorthWestern was focused on renew able resources prior RPS (refer to NWEs response to 13, 15, and 16). Therefore, the draw back from RPS is minimal. Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Dave Gates Generation Station (DGGS) and wind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs		er to NWE's response to 13, 15 and 16). Therefore, any benefit
Dave Gates Generation Station (DGGS) and wind forecasting services. Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs	NorthWestern was focused on renewable resources prior RPS (refer t from RPS is minimal.	
been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs		
resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs	been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in	Yes
A majority of the RPS resources in NWE's energy supply portfolio would have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWE's		Yes
	A majority of the RPS resources in NWEs energy supply portfolio would	d have been acquired absent the RPS standards, see NWEs
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?	conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the	Roughly 50% of the cost of DGGS.

Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Gordon Butte(\$69.53/MWh), Low er South Fork(\$66.25/MWh), Judith Gap Energy(\$34.27/MWh), Turnbull Hydro(\$65.96/MWh); Average Cost - \$59.00

Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Spot/hourly market resources? \$40.47 Coal resources? \$66.83

Natural gas resources? Basin Creek Plant is a capacity and tolling agreement

Hydropow er resources? \$52.78 \$75.52 Qualifying facility resources?

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

Market - Multiple sources; Coal - Costrip Unit 4; Hydro -Tiber Dam & Turnbull Hydro; QF - mutiple sources

Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Judith Gap - \$39.71

Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Spot/hourly market resources? \$44.42 Coal resources? \$44.73

Basin Creek Plant is a capacity and tolling agreement Natural gas resources?

Hydropow er resources? \$41.42 Qualifying facility resources? \$68.64

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

Market - Multiple sources; Coal - Colstrip 4; Hydro -

Tiber Dam; QF - Muliple source

Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Judith Gap - \$37.33/MWh

Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Spot/hourly market resources? \$54.54 Coal resources? \$38.26

Natural gas resources? Basin Creek Plant is a capacity and tolling agreement

Hydropow er resources? \$41.92 \$67.30 Qualifying facility resources?

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

Market - Multiple sources; Coal - Unit contingent purchase; Hydro - Tiber Dam; QF - Multiple sources

Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard

NWE is developing a portfolio of owned resources sufficient to meets its customers' loads reliably and economically. An increase in the RPS requirement could potentially affect NWEs planned load and resource balance. Additionally, an increase in the RPS standard could create integration/regulation needs in excess of NWEs current ability to provide those services.

Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).

Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:07:16 PM Last Modified: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:56:49 AM

Time Spent: Over a month Email: darcy.neigum@mdu.com

Custom Value: MDU

IP Address: 162.57.10.186

Q1: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you represent?	Montana-Dakota Utilities
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69- 3-2004, MCA)?	. 2008 - current
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage requirements?	Yes
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	Respondent skipped this question
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	Respondent skipped this question
Q6: What eligible renewable resources have you used to mee	et the overall percentage standards?
Diamond Willow I Diamond Willow II Cedar Hills	
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement?	Yes
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement? Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	Yes
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement? Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost	Yes
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement? Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a	Yes Respondent skipped this question No
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement? Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	Yes Respondent skipped this question No

Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?	No, Please explain how it has or has not. Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.'s addition of 57 MW of renew able generation resources to its portfolio was not made solely in response to the Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard but in conjunction with the Company's Integrated Resources Plan which included the costs and consideration of other forms of generation. The standard probably accelerated the acquisition of renew able generation resources.
Q14: Has the standard led to you reducing your dependence on fossil fuels?	Yes, Please explain how it has or has not. To a minor degree. It did not reduce the need for Montana-Dakota's thermal generation. How ever, the energy produced by the renew able resources reduced the need to purchase energy from MISO, in w hich thermal resources still dominate.
Q15: Has the standard assisted you in hedging against the volatility of fossil fuel markets?	No, Please provide some details on how it has or has not. Montana-Dakota's renew able resources do not avoid the need for thermal resources
Q16: Has the standard contributed to higher, lower, or neutral costs for your customers?	Neutral, Please explain your answer The renew able resources acquired by the Company were cost competitive with other forms of electric generation available at the time of their investment.
Q17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate increase or decrease)	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? The standard did not directly benefit customers how ever, the introduction reduced the cost of fuel and purchased power for its customers. This hand/or reduced the amount of generation from other higher cost resource renew ables into Montana-Dakota's generation portfolio has also diversitions customers requirements.	has also reduced the amount of market purchases from others ces that the Company has available to it. The introduction of
Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? The existing renew able standard did not have a negative impact upon Noby the Company were cost competitive with other forms of electric general integrated system resources.	
Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate	renewable resources?
None. Montana-Dakota is a member of the Midcontinent Independent Sysneeded to firm renew ables within MISO.	stem Operator (MISO) System and no additional resources are
Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana?	Yes
Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard?	No
Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above.	
As noted in Response No. 20 Montana-Dakota did not need additional re Response No. 13.	sources to integrate the renew able resources. Also refer to

Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?

None. See response to 20.

Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

MISO Average Energy Purchase Price; MISO Energy Market offer prices for MDU's coal and natural gas

resources

Qualifying facility resources? N/A Hydropow er resources? N/A

Natural gas resources? \$27 to \$37 per MWh

Coal resources? \$14 to \$22 per MWh

Spot/hourly market resources? \$23 per MWh

Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

MISO Average Energy Purchase Price; MISO Energy Market offer prices for MDU's coal and natural gas

resources

Qualifying facility resources? N/A Hydropow er resources? N/A

Natural gas resources? \$41 to \$43 per MWh

Coal resources? \$13 to \$19 per MWh

Spot/hourly market resources? \$28 per MWh

Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

MISO Average Energy Purchase Price; MISO Energy Market offer prices for MDU's coal and natural gas

resources

Qualifying facility resources? N/A Hydropow er resources? N/A

Natural gas resources? \$53 to \$60 per MWh
Coal resources? \$11 to \$20 per MWh
Spot/hourly market resources? \$56 per MWh

Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard

The Montana Renewable Portfolio Standard should not be changed. All investments in renewables should be justified on an equal basis with other available resources, without regard to a mandate.

Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).

Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Friday, December 06, 2013 12:32:37 PM **Last Modified:** Friday, December 06, 2013 2:39:53 PM

Time Spent: 02:07:16

Email: michael.theis@blackhillscorp.com

Custom Value: Black Hills

IP Address: 74.116.253.5

Q1: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you represent?	Black Hills
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69-3-2004, MCA)?	2008-current
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage requirements?	Yes
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	Respondent skipped this question
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, nave you paid an administrative penalty?	Respondent skipped this question
Q6: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet	the overall percentage standards?
Vind generation located in Cheyenne, WY	
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement?	Yes
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	No,
	If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year? yes
29: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	approximately \$40,000
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	If so, in w hat amount? N/A
Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to mee	et the CREP requirement?
Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have u	sed to meet the CREP requirement?
Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?	No, Please explain how it has or has not. BHP could not find an economically viable solution to meet the CREP requirement, therefore we were granted a waiver to avoid creating an undue financial burden on our customers. As a result, we have not added to our renew able portfolio in Montana.

Q14: Has the standard led to you reducing your dependence on fossil fuels?	No, Please explain how it has or has not. The majority of our Montana load is constant industrial load. We must maintain generation to meet the demand regardless of the availabilty of our wind energy.
Q15: Has the standard assisted you in hedging against the volatility of fossil fuel markets?	No, Please provide some details on how it has or has not. Since we own and operate our own generation, we have limited exposure to any volatility in the fossil fuel markets.
Q16: Has the standard contributed to higher, lower, or neutral costs for your customers?	Neutral, Please explain your answer Had we complied with the CREP requirements, it would have resulted in higher costs to our customers. Given the small number of customers we have in Montana, we have not adjusted rates for many years, or for any moderate increases associated with renewable energy we have provided.
Q17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your averaging reason or do crosses	ge residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate
increase or decrease) Projected in 2013 through 2015?	0 See explanation in question 16
In 2012?	0
In 2011?	0
In 2010?	0
In 2009?	0
In 2008?	0
Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? We do not believe the standard is beneficial to our Montana customers customers.	, given the economics associated with our small number of
Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers?	
Due to the small number of customers in the rural area of the state, the current generation resources.	e CREP requirement is not economically feasible compared to our
Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate	e renewable resources?
BHP has utilized additional regulation services as a result of integrating the renewable resources.	
Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana?	No
Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard?	No
Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above.	
The renew able resources are our most expensive resource in our mix unlikely these resources would have been utilized without the standard	
The bulk of w ind generation produced in Cheyenne is utilized by other w ould have any impact to the overall size or type of the project.	business units, so it is unlikely the small amount utilized in Montana
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?	100%

Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

\$ 0.0476 per kWh

Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the

answers above.

company ow ned generation

Qualifying facility resources? \$0.0476 per kWh

Hydropow er resources? N/A
Natural gas resources? N/A

Coal resources? \$0.0382 per kWh

Spot/hourly market resources? \$0.0217 per kWh (purchased pow er)

Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Information not readily available at this time

Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Spot/hourly market resources? Information not readily available at this time

Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?

Information not readily available at this time

Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:

Spot/hourly market resources? Information not readily available at this time

Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard

The information for questions 27-30 are not readily available at this time, but if needed we can provide this information. Please let us know if you would like us to follow-up with this.

Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).

Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 1:05:25 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 4:21:53 PM

Time Spent: 03:16:27

Email: linda.gervais@avistacorp.com

Custom Value: Avista

IP Address: 198.251.0.1

Q1: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you represent?	Avista
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69 3-2004, MCA)?	2008-2012
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage requirements?	No, If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year? See 31
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	See 31
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	Yes, If so, in w hat amount? 528.60 - 2012
Q6: What eligible renewable resources have you used to me∉ √A	et the overall percentage standards?
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement?	No
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	No, If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year? See 31
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost	See 31
(includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a	No
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to me	
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to me see Response to 31 Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have	eet the CREP requirement?
(includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to me see Response to 31 Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have see Response to 31 Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?	eet the CREP requirement?

Control Has the standard contributed to higher, lower, or neutral costs for your answer NA - See Response to 31 costs for your customers? CO17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate increase or decrease) ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA A	Q15: Has the standard assisted you in hedging against the volatility of fossil fuel markets?	No, Please provide some details on how it has or has not.
2017: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate norease or decrease) 2017: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate norease or decrease) NA NA 120117 NA 120117 NA 120109 NA 120099 NA 120087 NA 12018: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2019: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? NA 2021: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in lonation? 2022: Would you have constructed or acquired these escources at a different size if there was no standard? 2023: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. NA 2024: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard? 2025: What was the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources what was the average unit project in a stributable to the standard? 2026: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: however above. 2026: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: how were above. 2026: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:		See Response to 31
Increase or decrease) increase or decrease or d		Please explain your answ er N/A - See Response to 31
12012? 120117 120107 120107 120087 120087 120087 120087 120087 120087 120087 120087 1200887 1200887 1200887 1200887 1200887 120088888 12008888 12008888 12008888 12008888 12008888 12008888 120088888 120088888 1200888888 12008888888 120088888888 12008888888888		ge residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate
120117 NVA 120107 NVA 120107 NVA 120097 NVA 120097 NVA 120098 NVA 120088 NVA 12008 VNA 1218: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? VA 1219: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? VA 1219: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? VA 1220: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? VA 1221: Would these renewable and integration resources have seen added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in whortana? Ves 1222: Would you have constructed or acquired these esources at a different size if there was no standard? 1223: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. VA 1224: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? 1225: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit corice, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard disattributable to meet the standard (plans/MWh)? 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: 1227: In the 2010 compliance year what was the	Projected in 2013 through 2015?	N/A
n 2010? n 2009? N/A N/A N/A D18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? N/A D19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? N/A D20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? N/A D20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? N/A D21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? D22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? D22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? D22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? D23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. N/A D24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? D25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? D26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: N/A D26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: N/A D26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: N/A D26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: N/A D27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A D27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A	n 2012?	N/A
n 2009? n 2009? N/A Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers? VA Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? VA Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? VA Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? VA Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. VA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: NA Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: NA Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: NA Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: NA Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	n 2011?	N/A
In 2008? NA Other How is the standard beneficial to your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Other How is the standard a drawback for your customers? Yes Other How is the standard resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? Yes Other How is the standard in Montana? Yes Other How is the standard in the standard in the standard in the renewable resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Other How is the standard in the stan	in 2010?	N/A
Q18: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? WA Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources? NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	ln 2009?	N/A
Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? WA Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Q26: What as the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources? Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit phydropower resources? NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	n 2008?	N/A
Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? WA Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? WA Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers?	
Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? WA Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Q20alifying facility resources? NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	WA	
Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources? WA Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? NA NA NA NA NA NA Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit price, including as resources? NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers?	
C21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? C22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? C23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA C24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? C25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? C26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Cualifying facility resources? N/A Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Ny	VA.	
221: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? 222: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? 223: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA 224: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? 225: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? 226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answ ers above. 20alifying facility resources? N/A Nydropow er resources?	Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate	e renewable resources?
been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana? 222: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard? 223: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA 224: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? 225: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? 226: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. 224: How much of the cost of integration resources used in months and the conjunction with the renewable resource used to meet the standard? NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	WA	
resources at a different size if there was no standard? Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above. WA Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in	Yes
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? N/A Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Ny		Yes
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? N/A Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Nya Ny	Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above.	
conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard? Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A Noal resources? N/A	₩A	
price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including: Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? N/A Hydropower resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit	conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the	N/A
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? N/A Hydropower resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit	price, including integration costs, for each renewable	NA
Qualifying facility resources? N/A Hydropow er resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A	Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (no	ot transmission service) resources, including:
Hydropow er resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A		N/A
Hydropow er resources? N/A Natural gas resources? N/A Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A O27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A	Qualifying facility resources?	N/A
Natural gas resources? N/A Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A O27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A		N/A
Coal resources? N/A Spot/hourly market resources? N/A Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit N/A		N/A
Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit	_	
was the average unit		
price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	price, including integration costs, for each renewable	N/A

Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (r	•
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	N/A
Qualifying facility resources?	N/A
Hydropow er resources?	N/A
Natural gas resources?	N/A
Coal resources?	N/A
Spot/hourly market resources?	N/A
Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	N/A
Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (r	not transmission service) resources, including:
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	N/A
Qualifying facility resources?	N/A
Hydropow er resources?	N/A
Natural gas resources?	N/A
Coal resources?	N/A
Spot/hourly market resources?	N/A
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Re	enewable Portfolio Standard
The Montana Legislature enacted SB164 w hich exempted any utility was Avista falls under the exemption effective on passage and approval in the meaning of 1-02-109 to the compliance year beginning January 1, 201 consisting of only 28 or few er retail customers.	n 2013 (SB164, Chapter No. 73) and applies retroactively within th
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Follow Up 1 (Email)

Started: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:03:12 PM Last Modified: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:07:47 PM

Time Spent: 00:04:35

Email: gdoyon@greatfallsmt.net Custom Value: Electric City Power

IP Address: 63.228.223.162

Q1: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you represent?	⊟ectric City Power
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69-3-2004, MCA)?	2005
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage	No,
requirements?	If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year? Yes
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	Respondent skipped this question
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	No
Q6: What eligible renewable resources have you used to mee	the overall percentage standards?
Vind	
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement?	No
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	Respondent skipped this question
go. have you met the one requirement:	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a	
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet the CREP requirement? Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet the CREP requirement? Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have used to meet the CREP requirement?	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver? Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty? Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet the CREP requirement? Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have used to meet the CREP requirement? Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question

Neriewable Ellergy III Workaria - 3	divey for offittles and Suppliers
Q17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate increase or decrease)	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers?	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources?	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana?	No
Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard?	No
Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above.	Respondent skipped this question
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?	Respondent skipped this question
Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:	Respondent skipped this question
Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:	Respondent skipped this question
Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not transmission service) resources, including:	Respondent skipped this question
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 8:27:40 AM Last Modified: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:17:49 AM

Time Spent: Over a week
Email: rdgabbard@pplweb.com
Custom Value: PPL Energy Plus

IP Address: 167.155.144.19

Q1: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you represent?	PPL Energy Plus	
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69-3-2004, MCA)?	PPL EnergyPlus is NOT a Competitive Electricity Supplier	
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage requirements?	If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year?	
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	NA	
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	If so, in w hat amount? NA	
Q6: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet the overall percentage standards?		
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement?	No	
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year?	
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	NA	
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	If so, in w hat amount? NA	
Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet the CREP requirement?		
Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have used to meet the CREP requirement?		
Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?	No, Please explain how it has or has not. NA	
Q14: Has the standard led to you reducing your dependence on fossil fuels?	No, Please explain how it has or has not. NA	

Q15: Has the standard assisted you in hedging against the volatility of fossil fuel markets?	No, Please provide some details on how it has or has not. Market price volatility has increased, not decreased, with the addition of intermittent resources. There is also lower market liquidity due to the uncertainty of generation, particularly in the spring months. Prices can be negative during the off peak periods and in excess of \$100/MWh in the highest peak hours of the same day due to significant sw ings in intermittent generation.
Q16: Has the standard contributed to higher, lower, or neutral costs for your customers?	Neutral, Please explain your answ er PPL EnergyPlus, LLC is not a Competitive Electricity Supplier
Q17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your averagincrease or decrease)	ge residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate
ln 2008?	NA
In 2009?	NA
In 2010?	NA
In 2011?	NA
In 2012?	NA
Projected in 2013 through 2015?	NA
PPL EnergyPlus, LLC is not a Competitive Electricity Supplier Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers? PPL EnergyPlus, LLC is not a Competitive Electricity Supplier	
Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate NWMT has added the Dave Gates Generating Station which has increa	
Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana?	No
Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard?	No
Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above.	
NA	
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?	NA
Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	NA

Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (no	ot transmission service) resources, including:
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	NA
Qualifying facility resources?	NA
Hydropow er resources?	NA
Natural gas resources?	NA
Coal resources?	NA
Spot/hourly market resources?	NA
Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	NA
Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no	ot transmission service) resources, including:
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	NA
Qualifying facility resources?	NA
Hydropow er resources?	NA
Natural gas resources?	NA
Coal resources?	NA
Spot/hourly market resources?	NA
Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	NA
Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (no	ot transmission service) resources, including:
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	NA
Qualifying facility resources?	NA
Hydropow er resources?	NA
Natural gas resources?	NA
Coal resources?	NA
Spot/hourly market resources?	NA
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to	Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail 2 (Email)

Started: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 8:17:47 AM **Last Modified:** Monday, December 02, 2013 8:17:05 AM

Time Spent: Over a week
Email: rdgabbard@pplweb.com
Custom Value: PPL Treasure State

IP Address: 167.155.144.19

Q1: What is the name of the utility or electricity supplier you represent?	PPL Treasure State
Q2: What years were or are you subject to Montana's RPS (69-3-2004, MCA)?	Starting in 2008 with extension of RPS to Competitive Electricity Supplier
Q3: Have you been able to meet the overall percentage requirements?	Yes, If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year? NA
Q4: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	NA
Q5: If you have not met the standard or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	If so, in w hat amount? NA
Q6: What eligible renewable resources have you used to meet Judith Gap, Klondike 3 and Diamond Willow	the overall percentage standards?
Q7: Are you subject to the CREP requirement?	No
Q8: Have you met the CREP requirement?	If not, have you received a waiver for any compliance year?
Q9: If you received a waiver, what was the overall cost (includes administrative costs) of the waiver?	NA
Q10: If you have not met the requirement or received a waiver, have you paid an administrative penalty?	If so, in w hat amount? NA
Q11: What eligible renewable resources have you used to mee	et the CREP requirement?
Q12: Who owns the eligible renewable resource(s) you have u	sed to meet the CREP requirement?

Q13: Has the standard contributed to the diversification of your portfolio in Montana?

No.

Please explain how it has or has not.

PPLTS has purchased RECs from Eligible Renew able Resources as defined in the Renew able Energy Standards to meet its obligation. PPL Montana, LLC made a significant investment to upgrade and expand the Rainbow Power House. However, this investment did not result in Rainbow being defined as an Eligible Renew able Resource due to vetoes in the 2009, 2011 and 2013 Legislative Sessions (HB 257 in 2009, HB 59 in 2011 and amendatory veto of SB 45 in 2013).

Q14: Has the standard led to you reducing your dependence on fossil fuels?

No,

Please explain how it has or has not.

The addition of intermittent resources to meet the Renew able Energy Standards has resulted in operating complexities and additional costs of regulation such as the construction of the Dave Gates Generating Station. In addition, the market impact of the intermittent resources has resulted in increased cycling of thermal units. This is expected to have a long term effect of higher maintenance costs and low er commercial availability.

Q15: Has the standard assisted you in hedging against the volatility of fossil fuel markets?

No,

Please provide some details on how it has or has not. Market price volatility has increased, not decreased, with the addition of intermittent resources. There is also low er market liquidity due to the uncertainty of generation, particularly in the spring months. Prices can be negative during the off peak periods and in excess of \$100/MWh in the highest peak hours of the same day due to significant sw ings in intermittent generation.

Q16: Has the standard contributed to higher, lower, or neutral costs for your customers?

Higher,

Please explain your answer

The Renew able Standard has resulted in higher costs to customers due to both the cost of the RECs and the increased regulation cost from the transmission provider.

Q17: How much has the standard changed, if at all, your average residential customer's monthly utility bill? (indicate increase or decrease)

Projected in 2013 through 2015?

In 2012?

NA
In 2011?

NA
In 2010?

NA
In 2009?

NA
In 2008?

Q18: How is the standard beneficial to your customers?

No perceived benefits

Q19: How is the standard a drawback for your customers?

The standard is a draw back to the customers due to additional costs and an added compliance obligation. These added costs result in putting our customers at a competitive disadvantage in either global or national markets.

Q20: What additional resources have been needed to integrate renewable resources?

NWMT has added the Dave Gates Generating Station which has increased costs for both regulation and default supply.

Q21: Would these renewable and integration resources have been added to your portfolio if there was not a standard in Montana?	No
Q22: Would you have constructed or acquired these resources at a different size if there was no standard?	No
Q23: Please explain your response to 21 and 22 above.	
21 and 22 are not applicable	
Q24: How much of the cost of integration resources used in conjunction with the renewable resources used to meet the standard is attributable to the standard?	NA
Q25: In the 2012 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices which vary by year
Q26: What was the comparable price in 2012 of your supply (no	t transmission service) resources, including:
Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	NA
Qualifying facility resources?	NA
Hydropow er resources?	NA
Natural gas resources?	NA
Coal resources?	NA
Spot/hourly market resources?	NA
Q27: In the 2010 compliance year what was the average unit	PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices which vary by year
price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	t transmission service) resources, including:
	t transmission service) resources, including:
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the	•
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources?	NA NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources?	NA NA NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answ ers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropow er resources? Natural gas resources?	NA NA NA NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources?	NA NA NA NA NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (no Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answ ers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropow er resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources? Spot/hourly market resources? Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable	NA NA NA NA NA NA PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices w hich vary by year
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources? Spot/hourly market resources? Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)?	NA NA NA NA NA NA PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices w hich vary by year
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources? Spot/hourly market resources? Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices w hich vary by year t transmission service) resources, including:
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources? Spot/hourly market resources? Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above.	NA NA NA NA NA NA PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices w hich vary by year t transmission service) resources, including: NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources? Spot/hourly market resources? Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources?	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices w hich vary by year t transmission service) resources, including: NA NA
resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q28: What was the comparable price in 2010 of your supply (not Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources? Natural gas resources? Coal resources? Spot/hourly market resources? Q29: In the 2008 compliance year what was the average unit price, including integration costs, for each renewable resource used to meet the standard (dollars/MWh)? Q30: What was the comparable price in 2008 of your supply (not Please identify the resources you are using as the basis of the answers above. Qualifying facility resources? Hydropower resources?	NA NA NA NA NA NA PPLTS acquires RECs at market prices w hich vary by year t transmission service) resources, including: NA NA NA

Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard

PPL's understanding of the original intent of the Renew able Portfolio Standard was that industrial and large commercial Choice customers would be exempt from compliance with the standard. The RPS standard has impacted supply options for small Choice customers. A recent article in the Great Falls Tribune indicated that PPLTS was the only supplier to provide a final offer to the City of Great Falls. Morgan Stanley provided an indicative proposal but not a final proposal. The article did not state the reason for this but the RPS may have been a contributing factor.

Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).

Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:31:42 PM Last Modified: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:57:26 AM

Time Spent: Over a month
Email: darcy.neigum@mdu.com
Custom Value: Cedar Hills

IP Address: 162.57.10.186

21: What is the name of the project?	Cedar Hills
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 10/01/2009,	
the project begin operating? 05/20/2010	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in	No,
2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Please provide details of why it did or did not. Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.'s addition of 57 MW of renew able generation resources to its portfolio was not made solely in response to the Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard but in conjunction with the Company's Integrated Resources Plan which included the costs and consideration of other forms of generation. The standard probably accelerated the acquisition of our renew able generation resources.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	\$47.4 million
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were hired during construction?	0
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
Nanzek Construction out of West Fargo, ND was the general contractor	or for the project.
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	0 - Project onstruction w as in North Dakota
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	0
Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
) - Project located in North Dakota	
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	0
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utiliz	zed?
WA	

Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) ha	ive been paid for the project in:
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	No
Q14: What is the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	N/A
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have b	peen paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in:
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-	6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	No
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 6 of operation?	0
Year 5 of operation?	0
Year 4 of operation?	0
Year 3 of operation?	0
Year 2 of operation?	0
Year 1 of operation?	0
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	No

	·
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	0
Year 2 of operation?	0
Year 3 of operation?	0
Year 4 of operation?	0
Year 5 of operation?	0
Year 6 of operation?	0
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No
Q24: If so -	
How much in year 3?	0
How much in year 2?	0
How much in year 1?	0
To what government entity?	0
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mon	tana needed for the project?
\$0 - project located in North Dakota	
Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? \$0 - project located in North Dakota Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include	No
in its analysis?	
Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	No
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	Respondent skipped this question
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing	No,
new electric transmission?	Please elaborate on w hy or w hy not? No new electrical transmission w as built in conjunction w ith this project.
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	
The Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard should not be changed. All i with other available resources, without regard to a mandate.	investments in renew ables should be justified on an equal basis
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail 2 (Email)

Started: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:09:24 PM Last Modified: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:57:03 AM

Time Spent: Over a month Email: darcy.neigum@mdu.com Custom Value: Diamond Willow I

IP Address: 162.57.10.186

Q1: What is the name of the project?	Diamond Willow 1 and 2
Q2: When did	
construction of the project begin? 08/01/2007,	
the project begin operating? 12/29/2007	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	No, Please provide details of w hy it did or did not. Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.'s addition of 57 MW of renew able generation resources to its portfolio w as not made solely in response to the Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard but in conjunction w ith the Company's Integrated Resources Plan w hich included the costs and consideration of other forms of generation. The standard probably accelerated the acquisition of renew able generation resources.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	\$39.4 million for Diamond Willow 1
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were hired during construction?	2
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
Vanzek Construction out of West Fargo, ND was the general contracto	r for the project.
Colstrip Electric was the electric sub-contractor for Wanzek Construction	
Fallon County Redi-Mix provided contrete for the project as a sub-contra	
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	Approximately 50
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	Unknow n
Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	d in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
Combined 2 employees full-time employees for both Diamond Willow I an	nd Diamond Willow II
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	See below
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utiliz	ed?
Lodging Restaurant Groceries Small tools and equipment	
25	

Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) har Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
real 1 of construction of operation?	0
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	No
Q14: What is the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have b	
Year 1 of construction or operation?	73,159 to Fallon County
Year 2 of construction or operation?	79,653
Year 3 of construction or operation?	81,369
Year 4 of construction or operation?	80,607
Year 5 of construction or operation?	98,657
Year 6 of construction or operation?	105,552
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-	6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project i
Year 1 of construction or operation?	None
Year 2 of construction or operation?	п
Year 3 of construction or operation?	п
Year 4 of construction or operation?	п
Year 5 of construction or operation?	п
Year 6 of construction or operation?	п
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	Yes
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	9,750
Year 2 of operation?	10,154
Year 3 of operation?	10,185
Year 4 of operation?	14,830
Year 5 of operation?	13,643
Year 6 of operation?	11,186 thru October 2013
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes

Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:		
Year 1 of operation?	12,999	
Year 2 of operation?	13,538	
Year 3 of operation?	13,580	
Year 4 of operation?	19,773	
Year 5 of operation?	18,191	
Year 6 of operation?	14,915 thru October 2013	
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No	
Q24: If so -	Respondent skipped this question	
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Montana needed for the project? \$52,000 per year		
Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases?		
Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis?	No	
Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	No	
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in	No,	
leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	Please elaborate on w hy or w hy not? No new electrical transmission w as built in conjunction w ith this project.	
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard		
The Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard should not be changed. All investments in renew ables should be justified on an equal basis with other available resources, without regard to a mandate.		
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes	



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail 3 (Email)

Started: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:23:56 PM Last Modified: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:57:13 AM

Time Spent: Over a month Email: darcy.neigum@mdu.com Custom Value: Diamond Willow II

IP Address: 162.57.10.186

Q1: What is the name of the project?	Diamond Willow 1 and 2
Q2: When did	
construction of the project begin? 10/01/2009,	
the project begin operating? 06/16/2010	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in	No,
2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Please provide details of w hy it did or did not. Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.'s addition of 57 MW of renew able generation resources to its portfolio w as not made solely in response to the Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard but in conjunction w ith the Company's Integrated Resources Plan w hich included the costs and consideration of other forms of generation. The standard probably accelerated the acquisition of renew able generation resources.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	\$25.4 million for Diamond Willow 2
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were hired during construction?	0
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
Wanzek Construction out of West Fargo, ND was the general contractor	or for the project.
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	approximately 25
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	Unknow n
Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
Combined 2 employees full-time employees for both Diamond Willow I at	nd Diamond Willow II
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	See below
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utilize	zed?
Lodging Restaurants Groceries Small tools and equipment	

Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) hav	re been paid for the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	No
Q14: What is the abatement?	0
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have be	een paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	51,324
Year 5 of construction or operation?	62,635
Year 6 of construction or operation?	61,687
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6) Year 1 of construction or operation?	-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	
·	0
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	Yes
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	Provided under Diamond Willow 1 in total
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	Provided under Diamond Willow 1
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No
Q24: If so -	Respondent skipped this question
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mor	ntana needed for the project?
\$28,000 per year	
ψ20,000 per year	

227: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include n its analysis?	No
028: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where he project is located?	No
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	Respondent skipped this question
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in everaging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	No, Please elaborate on w hy or w hy not? No new electrical transmission w as built in conjunction w ith this project.
331: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Ren	
he Montana Renew able Portfolio Standard should not be changed. All ith other available resources, without regard to a mandate.	investments in renew ables should be justified on an equal basis



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Thursday, October 03, 2013 9:11:36 AM

Last Modified: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:55:58 PM

Time Spent: Over a month

Email: brogan@oversightresources.com

Custom Value: Gordon Butte

IP Address: 72.174.34.65

Q1: What is the name of the project?	Gordon Butte
Q2: When did	
construction of the project begin? 04/01/2011,	
the project begin operating? 01/03/2012	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	No,
	Please provide details of why it did or did not. The largest factor that contributed to our decision to build was having access to the avoided cost rate provided in the QF-1 tariff that was available at that time. Although we do meet the RPS requirements for renew able generation and CREP's, neither one had a direct impact on our decision to build.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	23,000,000
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	60
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
Dick Anderson Construction Rocky Mountain Contractors Electrical Consultants, Inc. Colstrip Electric Stahley Engineering	
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	50
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	Respondent skipped this question
ગું: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
s - \$33,333 avg salary	
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	7
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utili	zed?
They support the project as suppliers, scheduled maintenance, unplan	

Van Cafaanahustian an anautian	been paid for the project in:
Year 6 of construction or operation?	170000
Year 5 of construction or operation?	91000
Year 4 of construction or operation?	96000
Year 3 of construction or operation?	102000
Year 2 of construction or operation?	108000
Year 1 of construction or operation?	110342
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	Yes
Q14: What is the abatement?	50% property tax abatement
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	
Enter a date: 01/01/2022	
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	100,000
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have be	
Year 6 of construction or operation?	See #12 - Meagher County
Year 5 of construction or operation?	See #12 - Meagher County
Year 4 of construction or operation?	See #12 - Meagher County
Year 3 of construction or operation?	See #12 - Meagher County
Year 2 of construction or operation?	See #12 - Meagher County
Year 1 of construction or operation?	See #12 - Meagher County
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	No
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	8300
Year 2 of operation?	8300
Year 3 of operation?	8300
Year 4 of operation?	8300
•	8300
Year 5 of operation?	
Year 5 of operation? Year 6 of operation?	8300
·	8300 No
Year 6 of operation? Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school	
Year 6 of operation? Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No Respondent skipped this question
Year 6 of operation? Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so -	No Respondent skipped this question tana needed for the project?

Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction	Yes,	
with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis?	Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid Because all of the owners of Gordon Butte are Montana residents there will be additional income tax revenue generated from the project.	
Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	Yes, If yes, please list. Harlow ton Rodeo	
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the proje	ct has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	
The project has contributed to the state and local economies by hiring and contracting with Montana based business's. Because it is a CREP it creates additional tax revenue for the state from income taxes that would otherwise go to out of state entities.		
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	No, Please elaborate on why or why not? The RPS has not contributed to developing new electric transmission. Although projects that have been built in Montana have contributed to upgrades and improvements to the electric transmission system in Montana, the upgrades only benefit a specific project and do not allow for additional renew able generation to be tapped or exported. Essentially the projects make relatively minor improvements to the grid but do not leverage Montana's competitive advantage in developing more resources.	
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question	
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes	



COMPLETE

Collector: Follow-up #1 (Email)

Started: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:08:28 PM Last Modified: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:09:36 PM

Time Spent: 00:01:08

Email:~ gdoyon@greatfallsmt.net

Custom Value: Great Falls Wastewater Treatment Plant

IP Address: 63.228.223.162

1: What is the name of the project?	Great Falls Wastew ater Plant
Q2: When did	Respondent skipped this question
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	No
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were hired during construction?	Respondent skipped this question
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	Respondent skipped this question
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	Respondent skipped this question
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project created in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?	Respondent skipped this question
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	Respondent skipped this question
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utilized?	Respondent skipped this question
Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have been paid for the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	No
Q14: What is the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have been paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:	Respondent skipped this question

Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	No
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	No
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No
Q24: If so -	Respondent skipped this question
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Montana needed for the project?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases?	Respondent skipped this question
Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis?	No
Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	No
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	Respondent skipped this question
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	No
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this	Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Initial e-mail (Email)

Started: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 1:47:09 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 12:57:13 PM

Time Spent: Over a week

Email: kmcclain@invenergyllc.com

Custom Value: Judith Gap

IP Address: 38.98.131.120

Q1: What is the name of the project?	Judith Gap
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 01/01/2005,	
. the project begin operating? 02/16/2006	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Respondent skipped this question
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	183,974,000
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	Respondent skipped this question
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	Respondent skipped this question
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during	Respondent skipped this question
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	Respondent skipped this question
Q8: What were the average earnings per job? Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat 1 FTE, \$80,000 in earnings including benefits	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	
Q8: What were the average earnings per job? Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat 1 FTE, \$80,000 in earnings including benefits Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
Q8: What were the average earnings per job? Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat 1 FTE, \$80,000 in earnings including benefits Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project? Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are	red in Montana and what are the average earnings per job? Respondent skipped this question
Q8: What were the average earnings per job? Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat 1 FTE, \$80,000 in earnings including benefits Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project? Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utilized? Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA)	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q8: What were the average earnings per job? Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat 1 FTE, \$80,000 in earnings including benefits Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project? Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utilized? Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have been paid for the project in: Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question
Q8: What were the average earnings per job? Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat 1 FTE, \$80,000 in earnings including benefits Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project? Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utilized? Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have been paid for the project in: Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or rederal tax abatement?	Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question Respondent skipped this question No

ear 1 of construction or operation?	1,399,000 - Wheatland County
Year 2 of construction or operation?	1,366,000 - Wheatland County
Year 3 of construction or operation?	1,333,000 - Wheatland County
Year 4 of construction or operation?	1,398,000 - Wheatland County
Year 5 of construction or operation?	1,535,000 - Wheatland County
Year 6 of construction or operation?	1,639,000 - Wheatland County
real of construction of operation:	•
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	63,000
Year 2 of operation?	62,000
Year 3 of operation?	101,000
Year 4 of operation?	94,000
Year 5 of operation?	86,000
•	
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school	92,000 Yes
Year 6 of operation? Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	Yes
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity?	Yes Wheatland County
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1?	Yes Wheatland County 787,000
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2?	Yes Wheatland County 787,000 787,000
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2? How much in year 3? Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mo	Yes Wheatland County 787,000 787,000 787,000
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2? How much in year 3? Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mo	Yes Wheatland County 787,000 787,000 787,000
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2? How much in year 3? Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mo	Yes Wheatland County 787,000 787,000 787,000
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2? How much in year 3? Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mo 3400,000 annually.	Wheatland County 787,000 787,000 787,000 ntana needed for the project?
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2? How much in year 3? Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mo 3400,000 annually. Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q55,000 annually. Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis?	Wheatland County 787,000 787,000 787,000 ntana needed for the project?
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - To w hat government entity? How much in year 1? How much in year 2? How much in year 3? Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mo (3400,000 annually). Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include	Wheatland County 787,000 787,000 787,000 ntana needed for the project? Respondent skipped this question

Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	Respondent skipped this question
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes

Nowakowski, Sonja

Sasse, Art < Art. Sasse@iberdrolaren.com> From: Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 2:14 PM

To: Nowakowski, Sonja

Subject: RE: Montana Survey for Klondike

Sonja,

So, as we look at this – seems like only question #31 applies. This will be our answer to that question....

Montana has a strong wind resource but does not have significant load so it is unlikely an out-of-state project will be affected by the Montana RPS. In-state projects will look more favorable.

Should I go through the formal survey process for this – or does this give you what you need.



Art Sasse Director, Communications & Brand

Iberdrola Renewables 1125 NW Couch Street, Suite 700; Portland, OR 97209 Telephone: (503) 796-7740; Mobile (503) 475-0330 art.sasse@iberdrolaREN.com



In the interests of the environment, please print only if necessary and recycle.

From: survey-noreply@smo.surveymonkey.com [mailto:survey-noreply@smo.surveymonkey.com] On Behalf Of snowakowski@mt.gov via surveymonkey.com

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 12:28 PM

To: Sasse, Art

Subject: Montana Survey for Klondike

Dear Renewable Energy Generator: The Montana Legislature is seeking your feedback concerning the Montana Renewable Power Production and Rural Economic Development Act. Since 2008, the law has required certain utilities to procure a percentage of their resources from renewable resources. As directed by Senate Joint Resolution No. 6, the Energy and Telecommunications Interim Committee of the Legislature is focused on the economic impacts of the renewable portfolio standard, the environmental benefits of the standard, and the impacts the standard has had on Montana consumers. The committee is beginning its work by reaching out to renewable generators in Montana. Please take a few minutes to fill out the survey at the following link: https://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=rmJRAQmAOMOdAKzbOJMzaQ 3d 3d This link is uniquely tied to your project. You may forward this email and the link for this survey to multiple people to assist in filling it out. When the survey is complete, please click the "Final Submission" button at the bottom of the last page. Thank you for your participation. Sonja Nowakowski Research Analyst Montana Legislative Services Division (406) 444-3078 Please note: If you do not wish to receive further emails from us, please click the link below, and you will be automatically removed from our mailing list.

https://www.surveymonkey.com/optout.aspx?sm=rmJRAQmAOMOdAKzbOJMzaQ_3d_3d



COMPLETE

Collector: Follow Up #2 (Email)

Started: Saturday, December 07, 2013 7:55:10 AM **Last Modified:** Saturday, December 07, 2013 8:40:38 AM

Time Spent: 00:45:28 Email: ted@tsorenson.net Custom Value: Tumbull

IP Address: 69.20.157.151

21: What is the name of the project?	Turnbull
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 06/01/2010,	
. the project begin operating? 07/15/2011	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in	Yes,
2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Please provide details of w hy it did or did not. We were able to sell the power to Northwestern Energy under the community resource program. It was a competitive bid to Northwestern. Our bid was successful. The community resource program would not be available absent the RPS requirement. We are grateful to the legislature for passing the program and are hopeful it will continue to allow rural communities to develop small hydro resources associated with irrigation systems.
24: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	Direct \$ 13.8 million
25: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	8 to 10
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
ielw ay Fabrication , Stevensville ijay Concrete , Augusta camaker Sw anson concrete ,Choteau fimley Electric , Joplin Greenfields Irrigation District Crews, Fairfield led Rock electric transmission, Havre lumerous equipment rental houses in Great Falls and Helena	
27: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	about 20 to 20
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	\$15 to \$50 per hour
રૂ૭: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
peration is equivalent to 1 full time job	
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	6 to 10
211: In general, can you describe how those vendors are util	ized?

Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have been paid for the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	Yes
Q14: What is the abatement?	new business for property taxes
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire? Enter a date: 12/31/2021	
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	\$250,000
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have be Year 3 of construction or operation?	en paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in: teton county about \$190,000
Year 2 of construction or operation?	teton county about \$170,000
Year 1 of construction or operation?	teton county about \$160,000
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 3 of operation?	About \$8000
Year 2 of operation?	about \$8000
Year 1 of operation?	about \$6000
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	No
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No
Q24: If so -	Respondent skipped this question
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mon	tana needed for the project?
$10\ \%$ of revenue or about \$170,000 per year goes to Greenfield Irrigationacres of farmland	on district This reduces the water assessments to about 80,000
Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases?	
none	
Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction	Yes,
with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis?	Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid All the owners of the project pay substantial personal Montana state incomes taxes as the project is owned by Montana limited liability company(LLC).

Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?

Yes,

If yes, please list.

We contribute to the local high school sports teams and buy 4H animals

Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?

We put a lot of people to work when the economy was down and continue to put money into Montana in terms of property tax and income taxes plus we have reduced the water assessments for numerous farmers in the Fairfield area.

Item 12 would not allow me to enter the annual property taxes. they are about \$190,000 per year

Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?

Please elaborate on w hy or w hy not? We built only 4.5 miles of new transmission line

Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard

I urge the legislature to continue as it will allow small developers to develop new resources throughout the state

Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).

Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Follow Up #3 (Email)

Started: Monday, January 06, 2014 9:13:40 AM **Last Modified:** Monday, January 06, 2014 9:40:46 AM

Time Spent: 00:27:06

Email: jbacon@goldwindamerica.com Custom Data: Musselshell Wind I

IP Address: 64.187.194.96

Q1: What is the name of the project?	Mussellshell 1 and 2
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 06/01/2012,	
. the project begin operating? 01/01/2013	
ସି3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Yes, Please provide details of why it did or did not. Musselshell wind project won bid to provide a 20 year PPA to Northwestern Energy to cover a portion of their renewable portfolio requirements.
24: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	48 million
25: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	15 counted may have been more.
ubstation Inc - Helena MT EI - Billings MT PC/CEI Services - Billings MT ocal Machine Shop near Ryegate MT ocal sanitation company - Roundup MT fullen Crane - Billings MT ull Mountain Excavation - Lavina MT agle Construction - Billings MT attle Ridge Construction-Livingston MT anson-Kelly Construction - Billings MT ast Track Acoustics-Laurel MT .J. Painting - Billings MT ro Pump & Equipment-Laurel MT orthern Plumbing - Molt MT &T Services-Billings MT ummit Electric- Billings MT	
37: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	Max w as 100 - 120 Avg 75
રે8: What were the average earnings per job?	20.00/hr
29: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	d in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
/ 68,000/yr	

Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utili	zed?
Providing specialized services to site operations.	
Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) ha	ve been paid for the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	Yes
Q14: What is the abatement?	0
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	Unknow n
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have be	een paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
ear 3 of construction or operation?	0
ear 4 of construction or operation?	0
ear 5 of construction or operation?	0
ear 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6	is 138 MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in
ear 1 of construction or operation?	0
ear 2 of construction or operation?	0
ear 3 of construction or operation?	0
ear 4 of construction or operation?	0
ear 5 of construction or operation?	0
ear 6 of construction or operation?	0
osa o sa osalosada anti or oportulori.	U
219: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy ransaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
ear 1 of operation?	0
ear 2 of operation?	0
ear 3 of operation?	0
ear 4 of operation?	0
ear 5 of operation?	0
ear 6 of operation?	0
221: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Respondent skipped this question
222: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
ear 1 of operation?	0
ear 2 of operation?	0
ear 3 of operation?	0
ear 4 of operation?	0
ear 5 of operation?	0

Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	Yes
Q24: If so -	
To w hat government entity?	Wheatland County
How much in year 1?	0
How much in year 2?	0
How much in year 3?	0
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mon	tana needed for the project?
Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Unknown	
Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis?	No
Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	No
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	Respondent skipped this question
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	No
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes



COMPLETE

Collector: Follow Up #2 (Email)

Started: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:57:14 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, January 28, 2014 8:14:46 AM

Time Spent: Over a month

Email: john.bushnell@northwestern.com

Custom Data: Spion Kop

IP Address: 199.96.16.11

Q1: What is the name of the project?	Spion Kop
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 03/20/2012,	
. the project begin operating? 12/01/2012	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Yes, Please provide details of w hy it did or did not. NorthWestern Energy is obligated under Montana's Renew able Portfolio Standard to purchase output from eligible renew able projects.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	\$83,900,949
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	22
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
Dick Anderson Construction DJ& A	
Annala Fencing	
Osw ood construction	
Paradice Fencing	
Riley 4 Securities	
Schellinger Construction	
Terracon	
Tetra Tech	
Asplund Enterprises Boland Construction	
Fire Guys	
Contract Flooring	
Windy City Excavation	
United Materials	
Christmas Roofing	
Klinefelters Insulation	
Lonesome Dove	
MacDonald Heating and Cooling	
Mountain West Steel United electric	
Summit Plumbing	
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	790 MT residents were employed during construction

Q9: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
5 @ approximately \$75,000 annually	
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	approximately 10
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utili	zed?
Local vendors are used for services typical for a comercial operation amaintenance, rodent control, and bottled water services.	and include for example; trash removal, weed control, road
Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have	ve been paid for the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	255684
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	Yes
Q14: What is the abatement?	Montana New or Expanding Industry (15-24-1402 MCA)
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	
Enter a date: 12/31/2021	
Titel a date. 12/31/2021	
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	400,000.00
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have be	een paid in Montana. and in what county, for the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	255,684.11, Judith Basin
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6	3-138 MCA) naid in Montana conjunction with the project in
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	No
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	\$0
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	\$33,288
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	Yes
Q24: If so -	
To w hat government entity?	Judith Basin County
How much in year 1?	209753
How much in year 2?	104876
How much in year 3?	104876
How much in year 3?	104876

26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases?	
227: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include	Yes,
in its analysis?	Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid Montana Consumer Counsel Tax & Montana Public Service Commission Tax totaling approximately \$40,000 annually.
Q28: Have community donations or additional financial	Yes,
contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	If yes, please list. \$10,000 donated to the Geyser school for purchase of iPads
Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy?	Respondent skipped this question
Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in	No,
leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing new electric transmission?	Please elaborate on w hy or w hy not? From a transmission providers perspective, the RPS itself does not seem to have promoted the development of new electric transmission. The RPS standard does seem to have resulted in more use of the existing transmission system in certain areas and also in direct interconnection facilities for projects striving to be part of the RPS solution. How ever, at this point larger scale transmission additions have not occurred as a result of the RPS
Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).	Yes

#10

COMPLETE

Collector: Follow up - Lower South Fork (Email)
Started: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 2:44:41 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 3:02:34 PM

Time Spent: 00:17:52 First Name: Ben Last Name: Singer

Email: ben@hydrodynamics.biz Custom Data: Lower South Fork IP Address: 71.217.166.189

21: What is the name of the project?	Flint Creek
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 05/01/2012,	
the project begin operating? 03/14/2013	
23: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in	Yes,
2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Please provide details of why it did or did not.
	It encouraged Northwestern Energy to be slightly less
	antagonistic with independent power producers.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	4 million
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	12
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
allon Construction, EPC services, S&N concrete, Hydrodynamics Inc, lungas Co, Sun Rental Center,	Northw estern Energy, Timberline Fencing, FEPE, S&J rentals,
Q7: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	12
Q8: What were the average earnings per job?	50,000
ગુંક: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project creat	ted in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
, 30,000	
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	12
Q11: In general, can you describe how those vendors are util	ized?
urchased materials, equipment. Rented equipment.	
212: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) ha	ive been paid for the project in:
ear 1 of construction or operation?	0
ear 2 of construction or operation?	0
ear 3 of construction or operation?	0
ear 4 of construction or operation?	0
ear 5 of construction or operation?	0
ear 6 of construction or operation?	

Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or federal tax abatement?	No
Q14: What is the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	0
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have be	een paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in:
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6	i-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in
Year 1 of construction or operation?	0
Year 2 of construction or operation?	0
Year 3 of construction or operation?	0
Year 4 of construction or operation?	0
Year 5 of construction or operation?	0
Year 6 of construction or operation?	0
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	No
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	2000
Year 2 of operation?	2000
Year 3 of operation?	2000
Year 4 of operation?	2000
Year 5 of operation?	2000
Year 6 of operation?	2000
Q23: Has the project paid or will the project in the future pay facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	No
Q24: If so -	Respondent skipped this question
Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Moi	ntana needed for the project?
rero	
Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases?	
675,000 annually	

Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis? No Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located? Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project has contributed to Montana or your local economy? This project funds the dam at Georgetown Lake. Without this revenue, the dam was going to potentially be removed. The recreation on the lake is a source of local income. No, Q30: Has Montana's renewable energy standard assisted in leveraging Montana's competitive advantage in developing Please elaborate on why or why not? new electric transmission? There is still no available transmission for small independent producers. Should transmission to Idaho and beyond become available, more projects like this could be developed. Q31: Please provide any additional thoughts on Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard The consequences of failure need to be geared toward hurting the shareholders and not the ratepayers. Better rates are needed to encourage local independent power producers. Every stream coming off a mountain in Montana should have a small hydro on it. Yes Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Follow up for Flint Creek (Email)
Started: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 3:03:05 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 3:12:15 PM

Time Spent: 00:09:10 First Name: Ben Last Name: Singer

Email: ben@hydrodynamics.biz Custom Data: Flint Creek IP Address: 71.217.166.189

21: What is the name of the project?	Low er South Fork
Q2: When did	
. construction of the project begin? 06/01/2011,	
. the project begin operating? 08/14/2012	
Q3: Did Montana's Renewable Portfolio Standard, enacted in 2005, contribute to your decision to build?	Yes,
	Please provide details of why it did or did not. Low rates for Independent power producers would not have allowed this project to be built. The RPS encouraged Northwestern to pay a little more.
Q4: What was the project investment (in \$ dollars)?	1 million
Q5: How many Montana contractors or subcontractors were nired during construction?	4
Q6: Please list the contractors and subcontractors	
ares fence, northw estern energy, schlessler materials, J $\&$ T materials ontracting,	, Ladvala electric, Hydrodynamics Inc, mountain excavation, JMG
27: How many people were employed in Montana during construction?	5
⊋8: What were the average earnings per job?	45,000
રૂ૭: How many full-time permanent jobs has the project create	ed in Montana and what are the average earnings per job?
.5, 20,000	
Q10: How many Montana or local vendors are utilized in support of the project?	4
211: In general, can you describe how those vendors are utiliz	zed?
urchased equipment and materials. Rented equipment.	
Q12: How much in Montana property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) nave been paid for the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q13: Is the project currently receiving a state (Montana) or ederal tax abatement?	No
odorar tax abatomont.	

0,	·
Q15: When will the tax abatement expire?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: What are the estimated property taxes following expiration of the abatement?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17: How much in local property taxes (15-6-157, MCA) have been paid in Montana, and in what county, for the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: What was the amount of business equipment taxes (15-6-138, MCA) paid in Montana conjunction with the project in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: Is the project subject to Montana's wholesale energy transaction tax (15-72-104, MCA)?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: Is the project subject to Montana's electrical energy producers tax (15-51-101 MCA)?	Yes
Q22: If yes, what was the amount paid in:	
Year 1 of operation?	400
Year 2 of operation?	400
Year 3 of operation?	400
Year 4 of operation?	400
Year 5 of operation?	400
Year 6 of operation?	400
facility impact fees for local governmental units and school districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so -	Respondent skipped this question
districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)?	
districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Mont	
districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Monta) Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include	tana needed for the project? Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid
districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Montana state land leases? Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis? Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where	tana needed for the project? Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid All revenue results in montana income tax No
Q24: If so - Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Monta Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis? Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located?	tana needed for the project? Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid All revenue results in montana income tax No thas contributed to Montana or your local economy?
districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Montana and leases in Montana state land leases? Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis? Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located? Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project his project helps a ranch get into the black by using water from their irri	Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid All revenue results in montana income tax No thas contributed to Montana or your local economy? igation ditch. This plant also helps fund said ditch.
districts in Montana (15-24-3004, MCA and 15-24-3005, MCA)? Q24: If so - Q25: In general terms how much is paid for land leases in Montana state land leases? Q26: How much is paid for Montana state land leases? Q27: Are there additional taxes paid in Montana in conjunction with the project that you feel the committee should include in its analysis? Q28: Have community donations or additional financial contributions been made in the Montana community where the project is located? Q29: Please provide any additional thoughts on how the project	tana needed for the project? Please list those taxes and the year and amount paid All revenue results in montana income tax No thas contributed to Montana or your local economy?

Q32: FINAL SUBMISSION: All questions are complete and this survey is ready for submission (select no if you wish to return and complete this survey later).